publicly-traded common stock were artificially inflated, and relying directly or indirectly on the false and misleading statements made by defendants, or upon the integrity of the market in which the common stock trades, and/or on the absence of material adverse information that was known to or recklessly disregarded by defendants but not disclosed in public statements by defendants during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class acquired Sonus common stock during the Class Period at artificially high prices and were damaged thereby.

- 58. At the time of said misrepresentations and omissions, Plaintiff and other members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be true. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class and the marketplace known the truth regarding the problems that Sonus was experiencing, which were not disclosed by defendants, Plaintiff and other members of the Class would not have purchased or otherwise acquired their Sonus common stock, or, if they had acquired such common stock during the Class Period, they would not have done so at the artificially inflated prices which they paid.
- 59. By virtue of the foregoing, defendants have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.
- 60. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases and sales of the Company's common stock during the Class Period.

SECOND CLAIM Violation Of Section 20(a) Of The Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants

61. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

- 62. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Sonus within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their high-level positions, and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations and/or intimate knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the Company with the SEC and disseminated to the investing public, the Individual Defendants had the power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision-making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which Plaintiff contend are false and misleading. The Individual Defendants were provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public filings and other statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be corrected.
- 63. In particular, each of these defendants had direct and supervisory involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, is presumed to have had the power to control or influence the particular transactions giving rise to the securities violations as alleged herein, and exercised the same.
- 64. As set forth above, Sonus and the Individual Defendants each violated Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this Complaint. By virtue of their positions as controlling persons, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of the Company's common stock during the Class Period.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- (a) Determining that this action is a proper class action, designating Plaintiff as Lead Plaintiff and certifying Plaintiff as a class representative under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Plaintiff's counsel as Lead Counsel;
- (b) Awarding compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiff and the other Class members against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages sustained as a result of defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial, including interest thereon;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and
 - (d) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: March 31, 2004

By her attorneys.

Thomas G. Shapiro BBO#454680

Theodore M. Hess-Mahan BBO#557109

SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP

75 State Street

Boston MA 02109

(617) 439-3939

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.

Richard A. Lockridge (#64117)

Karen Hanson Riebel (#219770)

100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200

Minneapolis, MN 55401

Telephone: (612) 339-6900

CAULEY GELLER BOWMAN & RUDMAN, LLP

Samuel H. Rudman 200 Broadhollow Road, Suite 406 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: (631) 367-7100

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATION OF PLAINTIFF PURSUANT TO FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

Awy	s, that	("Plantiditt"), deciares	i as to the claims 9860	ned, or to be as	scried, under the federal securities	
1.	Plaintiff has reviewed theSC	ONUS NETWORK	<u>′S</u> i	company/stoc	k name] complaint	
2.	Plaintiff did not purchase any common stock/securities that are the subject of this action at the direction of Plaintiff's counsel in order to participate in any private action under the federal securities laws. Plaintiff is willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the class, including providing testimony at deposition and trial, nucessary. I understand that this is not a claim form, and that my ability to share in any recovery as a member of the class is a dependent upon execution of this Plaintiff Certification.					
3,						
4.	BEFORE the start of the Class Period, Plaintiff held <u>O</u> shares of <u>Sonus Air France</u> [company/stoname] common stock/securities.					
5.	The following includes all of Pi stock/securities that are the subject	sintiff's transactions DU ct of this action:	RING the Class Pa	riod specified i	in the complaint for the common	
	SECURITY (Common Stock, Call, Fac, Boarie)	TRANSACTION (Perchano, Sale)	QUANTITY	TRADE	PRICE PER SHARESECURITY	
_5	onus Networks	Pumbase.	400 shares		9,62	
-						
F						
	les dates					
	se list additional transactions on		eary.			
6.		sintiff's shares were acquired (check all that apply): D IRA D Employer-sponsored plan (401K, 403)				
	Non-retirement account	□ Merger	r/acquirition	Other (describe):	
7.	Plaintiff has not sought to serve or served as a representative party for a class in an action filed under the federal securities law within the past three years, unless otherwise stated in the space below:					
8.	Plaintiff will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond Plaintiff's pro rata shar of any recovery, except such reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class ordered or approved by the court.					
I dec	clare under penalty of perjury that ti	ne foregoing is true and c	orrect. Executed this	17 day of _	Tebruary 2004.	
	lare under pensity of perjury that ti		Shilo	Grame	ell "	
			1 7	UNATURE		